

**QUALITY ASSESSEMENT CRITERIA**

***OPERATIONS OF STRATEGIC IMPORTANCE***

**The *main objectives* of this document are:**

* *To assess the relevance of the project*
* *To use the result of the assessment as a basis for decision making*
* *To ensure transparency*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project title** |  |
| **Assessor** |  |

**GENERAL ASSESSMENT**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Assessment questions** | **Yes/No** | **Accepted/rejected** |
| Is the partnership composition according to the rules of the programme? (at least one Romanian and one Serbian partner). | If YESIf NO | -Accepted-Rejected |
| Is the operation providing a key contribution to the achievement of the objectives of the Programme? | If YESIf NO | -Accepted-Rejected |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Assessment questions** | **Guiding principles for the assessment** | **Maximum Score** | **Comments** |
| **PROJECT’S CONTEXT*****How well is the need for the project justified?*** | The project addresses common territorial challenges and opportunities in the programme area – there is a real demand for the project. | **10** |   |
| The project clearly contributes to a wider initiative/ strategy on one or more policy levels (EU/ national/ regional) and present synergies with the relevant national/ regional and EU Macro-Regional Strategies (e.g EUSDR). | **4** |  |
| The proposed intervention is of considerable impact at the level of the programme’s area. | **16** |  |
| **COOPERATION CHARACTER*****What added value does the cooperation bring?*** | The importance of the cross-border approach to the topic addressed is clearly demonstrated. | **8** |  |
| **PROJECT’S DOMAIN** | The proposed intervention refers to at least one Type of actions from Annex 1 and contributes to at least one of the output indicators and to at least one of the result indicators, from Annex 1. | **10** |  |
| The project’s activities are relevant in relation to the specific objectives. | **6** |  |
| The project’s activities are realistic – it is possible to achieve them with given resources – i.e. time, partners, budget.  | **6** |  |
| The project’s results are relevant in relation to the project’s activities. | **4** |  |
| The project’s results are realistic - it is possible to achieve them with given resources – i.e. time, partners, budget. | **6** |  |
| **PARTNERSHIP RELEVANCE*****To what extent is the partnership composition relevant for the proposed project?*** | The project involves relevant partners needed to address the territorial challenge/ opportunity and the objectives specified. | **6** |  |
| Partner organizations have proven experience in the thematic field concerned, as well as the necessary financial and human resources capacity to implement the project. | **8** |  |
| **PROJECT MATURITY** | Status of the necessary documents to support the implementation of the project. | **10** |  |

**OVERALL ASSESSMENT**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Assessment question** | **Maximum****Score** | **Comments** |
| **e.g:*****1.* The project makes use of available knowledge and builds on existing results and practices? (capitalization);****2. If the project includes an infrastructure component, do the lead partner/ partners have the land and/or building/ item of infrastructure in concession/on long term contract/ in administration/ bailment contract/rent contract/ publicly owned by the applicant/ they hold a right under the real property law over the land and/ or building/ item of infrastructure****3. Other** | **4****2** |  |
|  |  |  |
| **TOTAL SCORE** |  |  |