**CONCEPT NOTES’ EVALUATION GRID**

***Call for proposals for Specific Objectives 1.2 and 1.3***

**The *main objectives* of this document are:**

* *To assess the relevance of the project*
* *To use the result of the assessment as a basis for decision making*
* *To ensure transparency of the assessment process*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project title** |  |
| **Assessor** |  |

**GENERAL ASSESSMENT**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Assessment questions** | **Yes/No** | **Accepted/rejected** |
| Is the partnership composition according to the rules of the programme? (at least one Romanian and one Serbian partner, maximum number of partners). | If YES  If NO | -Accepted  -Rejected |
| Is the operation falling within the specific objectives/ types(s) of action(s) targeted by the present call for proposals? | If YES  If NO | -Accepted  -Rejected |
| Mandatory cooperation criteria are met | If YES  If NO | -Accepted  -Rejected |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Assessment questions** | **Guiding principles for the assessment** | **Maximum Score** | **Comments** |
| **PROJECT’S RELEVANCE AND CONTEXT**  ***How well is the need for the project and its relevance justified?*** | The project addresses common territorial challenges and opportunities in the programme area – there is a real demand for the project. | **10** |  |
| The project clearly contributes to a wider initiative/ strategy on one or more policy levels (EU/ national/ regional) and present synergies with the relevant national/ regional and EU Macro-Regional Strategies (e.g EUSDR). | **4** |  |
| The project describes a new approach that goes beyond existing practice in the sector/ programme area/ participating countries. | **4** |  |
| **COOPERATION CHARACTER**  ***What added value does the cooperation bring?*** | The importance of the cross-border approach for achieving the project objectives is clearly demonstrated. | **6** |  |
| **PROJECT’S DOMAIN** | The project’s activities are relevant in relation to the specific objectives. | **8** |  |
| The project’s activities are realistic – it is possible to achieve them with given resources – i.e. time, partners, budget. | **8** |  |
| Project outputs and results that contribute to programme indicators are well defined, realistic, achievable and are in line with the Programme documents | **20** |  |
| **PARTNERSHIP RELEVANCE**  ***To what extent is the partnership composition relevant for the proposed project?*** | The project involves relevant partners needed to address the territorial challenge/ opportunity and the objectives specified. | **6** |  |
| Partner organizations have the capacity to implement the project. | **8** |  |
| **PROJECT MATURITY** | Status of the necessary documents to support the implementation of the project. | **10** |  |

**OVERALL ASSESSMENT**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Assessment question** | **Maximum**  **Score** | **Comments** |
| **1.The project makes use of available knowledge and builds on existing results and practices? (capitalization);**  **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**  **2. Durability of project results**  **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**  **3. Other identified strengths of the project** | **6**  **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**  **6**  **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**  **4** |  |
| **TOTAL SCORE** | **100** |  |